



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 07/24/98 DENNING , D TU9-98-010 09/131,846 **EXAMINER** TM02/0522 ANDREW J DILLON-BONZO, B PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

FELSMAN BRADLEYN GUNTER AND DILLON SUITE 350 LAKE ON THE PARK 7500B NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY AUSTIN TX 78731

2184

**DATE MAILED:** 

05/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/131,846	DENNING ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bryce P Bonzo	2184
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for</li> </ul>		
reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>		
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached.		
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is	s as follows (see attached written	explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.		
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
11. Other:	SUPERVISORY	BEAUSOLEIL PATENT EXAMINER
S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLO	GY CENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/131,846

Art Unit: 2184

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 USC §102(e).

5

## Rejections under 35 USC §102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

10

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

15

Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gates as set forth in the Non-Final Office Action of September 27<sup>th</sup>, 2000 with the amendments to the claims addressed below.

ROBERT BEAUSOLEIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2199